



Australian Breaking Association

Rankings and Ratings Committee

Rules and Regulations: April 2021

Amended: 23/06/2021

Committee members: Stephen Gow and Jo H. Yoon

The following document is a detailed expansion on the mandate ratified 14/04/2021 by the executive committee. The Australian Breaking Association hereafter referred to as the ABA has approved and given authority to the Rankings and Ratings Committee hereafter referred to as the RARC the mandate to carry out the regulation of the competition rating and the Australian breaking rankings.

Rankings

Once an event has been given a 'Rating' and it has been approved by the executive committee the point value of said event is determined and the point allocation may proceed based on results from said event.

- Point allocation

Allocated per event category, not for the entire event. For a single event that hosts multiple competition categories each competition will be allocated points according to what the event is categorised. Therefore an event hosting four (4) different competition categories, each category will receive a rating. In competitions where more than one person is the winner points will be divided evenly amongst the winners. Maximum limit will be no more than five (5).

- Categories and Points

C1	Points		C2	Points
1st	125		1st	250
2nd	63		2nd	125
Top 4	30		Top 4	63
Top 8	16		Top 8	30
Top 16	8		Top 16	16
Top 32	4		Top 32	8
Top 64	2		Top 64	4
C3	Points		C4	Points
1st	500		1st	1000
2nd	250		2nd	500
Top 4	125		Top 4	250
Top 8	63		Top 8	125
Top 16	30		Top 16	63
Top 32	16		Top 32	30
Top 64	8		Top 64	16

Ratings

Guideline criteria and rating code:

C1
30+ participants
Local judges
C2
50+ participants
Local + national judges
C3
100+ participants
National + international judges
Credibility (championships/high stakes)
C4
300+ participants
National + international judges
Credibility (championships/high stakes)

Additional criteria considered but not limited to:

- Size of event
- Credibility
- Stakes
- National participation
- Calibre

Special conditions:

- Eligibility of specialised competitions such as: seven 2 smoke, footwork only, power move only etc will be assessed by the RARC on a case by case basis.

Subsidy for smaller communities:

Due to the size of these communities an automatic C1 rating will be applied to competitions held in their respective states where the RARC deem fit to do so:

- Australian Capital Territory (ACT)
- Northern Territory (NT)
- South Australia (SA)
- Tasmania (Tas)
- Western Australia (WA)

Additionally, any female only (Bgirl) competitions will receive a subsidy if the RARC should deem it appropriate.

**Estimated turnaround response time for ratings will be fourteen (14) days.*

**Each state is limited to three (3) rated events per year not including official ABA hosted events.*

**In the event a member of the ABA executive committee is hosting or producing or directing the event they will not be allowed to vote on rating approval.*

Arbitration

As written in the RARC mandate:

In the event a member of the ABA or Australian breaking community or general public raise an issue:

- Complaint or
- Contest a ruling/decision by the RARC or ABA executive committee with regards to competition rating or national ranking

The first point of contact in the process of arbitration will be the RARC itself. Wherein the RARC must address the issue within thirty days of it being received, should the RARC and the party who raised the issue not reach an agreed solution the RARC will forward the issue onto the ABA executive committee. The executive committee will then make a ruling through majority vote within thirty days of notification and the RARC will carry out the decision and any changes to the rating or rankings as a result. Once an issue has been decided upon and ruled it cannot be appealed unless new evidence has emerged or circumstances involving the issue have changed enough to warrant the RARC to reopen the issue; this is at the discretion of the RARC.

Process

Ranking: For any breaker to be ranked they must first fill out the online form found on the ABA website. Once confirmed they must ensure they use the correct name for competitions to ensure points are allocated accordingly.

Rating: Events must submit an RARC application form found on the ABA website as early as possible; recommended two months before the event date. Provide event participant results within two weeks of event conclusion to ensure rating and rankings are updated. Events must allow for a two month promotional time for interstate participants to arrange travel plans.

Amendment (23/06/2021) ABA: Ranked events will be shared and made available via our website and shared once as a ranked event via our social media channels if approved.

Event host/organiser: Responsible for promoting their event including being a ranked event if approved.

Amendment (23/06/2021) Information: All participants in ranked events will be included in the rankings. However, only points accrued by registered Olympic participants will count towards the Olympics.

Amendment (23/06/2021) Post event: Producers or organisers of rated events are required to fill out a post event brief form to provide information regarding how many participants and results of the competition within two (2) weeks of an event's conclusion. This information will be regarded as the official results by which ranking points will be allocated. Furthermore, competition results must fit into the predetermined structure laid out in the points allocation table below (highlighted in **yellow**):

C1	Points		C2	Points
1st	125		1st	250
2nd	63		2nd	125
Top 4	30		Top 4	63
Top 8	16		Top 8	30
Top 16	8		Top 16	16
Top 32	4		Top 32	8
Top 64	2		Top 64	4
C3	Points		C4	Points
1st	500		1st	1000
2nd	250		2nd	500
Top 4	125		Top 4	250
Top 8	63		Top 8	125
Top 16	30		Top 16	63
Top 32	16		Top 32	30
Top 64	8		Top 64	16

With points allocated according to this structure applied at the knock out stage of a competition not preliminary. In only specific conditions where a competitor may fall sick,

become injured, befall an accident or was otherwise unable to compete for legitimate* reasons; would exceptions be applied to this structured point allocation.

**Appropriate proof or documentation may be requested by the RARC to determine whether a reason is considered legitimate or not.*